

CY 2024 Real World Testing Report for TenEleven

Executive Summary

This is the test report for CY 2024 real world testing for our TenEleven certified eCR solution. This is the companion document to our CY 2024 real world test plan that described our approach for conducting real world testing in CY 2024 and the testing measures we employed.

Our findings show that EHR is working in our production as it was certified. For each our CY 2024 Real World Testing Measures, we have recorded our results and findings. We did not discover any non-conformities or errors from our testing.

Our signed attestation of compliance with the real world testing requirements is on the following page.

Developer Attestation

This Real World Testing report is complete with all required elements, including measures that address all certification criteria and care settings. All information in this plan is up to date and fully addresses the health IT developer's Real World Testing requirements.

Authorized Representative Signature:

Catherine Baker

DATE

March 3, 2025

Executive Summary.....	1
Developer Attestation.....	2
General Information	4
Timeline and Milestones for Real World Testing CY 2024.....	5
Standards Version Advancement Process (SVAP) Updates	6
RWT Measure #1. Number of Transition of Care C-CDAs Successfully Sent	7
RWT Measure #2. Number of C-CDAs Received and/or Incorporated.....	8
RWT Measure #3. Number of NewRx Prescriptions Messages Successfully Sent	10
RWT Measure #4. Number of Quality Measures Successfully Reported on to CMS	11
RWT Measure #5. Number of Patients Given Access to Portal.....	12
RWT Measure #6. Number of Immunization Registries Engaged	13
RWT Measure #7. Number of Syndromic Surveillance Registries Engaged	14
RWT Measure #8. Number of Electronic Reportable Lab Registries Engaged	16
RWT Measure #9. Number of Electronic Case Reporting Registries Engaged	17
RWT Measure #10. Number of Applications/3rd Party Systems using API Capabilities	19
RWT Measure #11. Compliance of Data Export C-CDA Export and C-CDA Scorecard Average Score 21	
RWT Measure #12. Compliance of Privacy Security Tag Features	22
RWT Measure #13. Compliance of Care Plan C-CDA.....	23

General Information

Developer Name: TenEleven Group

Product Name(s): electronic Clinical Record (eCR)

Version Numbers(s): 2.4

Certified Health IT Criteria: 315(b)(1)-(3), (b)(10), (b)(7)-(9); (c)(1)-(3); (e)(1); (f)(1)-(3), (f)(5); (g)(7), (9)-(10)

Product List (CHPL) ID(s) and Link(s):

- 15.04.04.2861.Elec.22.00.1.181231
- <https://chpl.healthit.gov/#/listing/11200>

Developer Real World Testing Page URL: <https://10e11.com/onc-real-world-test/>

Timeline and Milestones for Real World Testing CY 2024

- Milestone 1Q-2024: Health IT system is fully enabled for use in real world testing.
 - STATUS: MET
- Milestone 3Q 2024. Begin making plans to collect data for RWT measures. If necessary, engage clients to ask for their support and participation in real world testing.
 - STATUS: MET
- Milestone 4Q-2024. During the last quarter of the year, the CY 2024 real world test plan will be completed according to ONC and ONC-ACB requirements and expectations. Test plan will be prepared for submission.
 - STATUS: MET

Standards Version Advancement Process (SVAP) Updates

For CY 2024 RWT testing, we did not do any SVAP updates but used the current standards required in the certification criteria.

Standard (and version)	All standards versions are those specified in certification criteria.
Date of ONC-ACB notification (SVAP or USCDI)	N/A
Date of customer notification (SVAP only)	N/A
USCDI-updated certification criteria (and USCDI version)	The plan documents the support of all USCDI v1 data elements.

RWT Measure #1. Number of Transition of Care C-CDAs Successfully Sent

Associated Criteria: 315(b)(1)

Measurement Description

This measure is tracking and counting how many C-CDAs are created and successfully sent from the Health IT Module to a 3rd party via Direct messaging during a transition of care event over the course of a given interval.

Care Settings

Our EHR is designed explicitly to support clinicians treating patients in the mental health and substance use space and our measures were developed for that audience.

Testing Results

Testing Metric/Measurement: number of messages with CCDAs attached successfully sent

Total: 0 for all clinics during dates of July-September 2024

Total: 125,867 from our production-simulated dev environment during dates of July-September 2024

Analysis and Key Findings

Our customer sites did not exchange any C-CDAs during this time, but we regularly generate C-CDAs in our production-simulated dev environment for various internal testing activities which provided a large number of successful exchanges. It also confirmed that our relied upon software HISP, MaxDirect, is integrated with our EHR and working in production.

Non-Conformities or Errors Discovered

During our testing, we did not discover any errors or criteria non-conformities. We did not make any changes to this measure from our original test plan.

RWT Measure #2. Number of C-CDAs Received and/or Incorporated

Associated Criteria: 315(b)(2)

Measurement Description

This measure is tracking and counting how many C-CDAs are successfully received and/or incorporated upon receipt from a 3rd party via Direct messaging during a transition of care event over the course of a given interval.

Care Settings

Our EHR is designed explicitly to support clinicians treating patients in the mental health and substance use space and our measures were developed for that audience.

Testing Results

Testing Metric/Measurement: number of reconciliation of medications, allergies and problems from a received C-CDA

Total: 0 for selected clinics during dates of July-September 2024

Alternative Test: Synthetic C-CDAs Incorporated

C-CDAs Received: 4

C-CDA Allergies Incorporated: 4 total; Success Rate 4/4 = 100%

C-CDA Medications Incorporated: 8 total; Success Rate 8/8 = 100%

C-CDA Problems Incorporated: 8 total; Success Rate 8/8 = 100%

Analysis and Key Findings

Our clinicians are not either not receiving many C-CDAs from other providers or electing not to do the full incorporation of the problems, medications, and allergies. Because of the lack of use, we did additional internal testing of this functionality in our production-simulated dev environment, and confirmed the incorporation capabilities are working. We incorporated in four (4) different C-CDAs for four (4) different patients with one or more allergy, medication, and problem in each C-CDA. All clinical data was incorporated into their respective patient records without issue.

We confirmed that the low-use results do not indicate any errors or failures with functionality but instead reflect a choice of our providers.

Non-Conformities or Errors Discovered

During our testing, we did not discover any errors or criteria non-conformities.

Changes for this Measure from Original RWT Test Plan

As noted above, we added a compliance test to this measure given its low use results from customers. Given the nature of this testing, we believe it has a low impact on the outcome of our real world testing initiative and is an acceptable alternative.

RWT Measure #3. Number of NewRx Prescriptions Messages Successfully Sent

Associated Criteria: 315(b)(3)

Measurement Description

This measure is tracking and counting how many NewRx electronic prescriptions were created and successfully sent from the Health IT Module to a pharmacy destination over the course of a given interval.

Care Settings

Our EHR is designed explicitly to support clinicians treating patients in the mental health and substance use space and our measures were developed for that audience.

Testing Results

Testing Metric/Measurement: number of electronic prescriptions sent

Total: 497,752 for selected clinics during dates of July-September 2024

Success Rate: 99.1%

Analysis and Key Findings

Our clinician community uses our electronic prescribing functionality often, and it showed that our 3rd party, relied upon software electronic prescribing partner NewCrop was working and fully integrated with our EHR. Our results are up over 30% compared to last year's test metric numbers.

Non-Conformities or Errors Discovered

During our testing, we did not discover any errors or criteria non-conformities. We did not make any changes to this measure from our original test plan.

RWT Measure #4. Number of Quality Measures Successfully Reported on to CMS

Associated Criteria: 315(c)(1)-(c)(3)

Measurement Description

This measure is tracking and counting how many eCQM quality measures were successfully reported on by the Health IT Module to CMS over the course of a given interval.

Care Settings

Our EHR is designed explicitly to support clinicians treating patients in the mental health and substance use space and our measures were developed for that audience.

Testing Results

Testing Metric/Measurement: Count of QRDA Cat III eCQMs that have been created and then submitted to CMS at all sites

Total: 0 for selected sites during 2024

Alternative Test: QRDA Cat III Synthetic Created

QRDA Cat III Created: 4

QRDA Cat III Validated; Success Rate 4/4 = 100%

Analysis and Key Findings

Our clients are not participating in the CMS MIPS program so they are not generating eCQMs so these results align with our expectations. In our internal QA analysis, we produced four (4) QRDA Cat III files, and we successfully validated all four using the [CVU+ tool](#) for a 100% success rate to verify the eCQM functionality is working.

We confirmed that the low-use results do not indicate any errors or failures with functionality but instead reflect a choice of our providers.

Non-Conformities or Errors Discovered

During our testing, we did not discover any errors or criteria non-conformities.

Changes for this Measure from Original RWT Test Plan

As noted above, we added a compliance test to this measure given its low use results from customers. Given the nature of this testing, we believe it has a low impact on the outcome of our real world testing initiative and is an acceptable alternative.

RWT Measure #5. Number of Patients Given Access to Portal

Associated Criteria: 315(e)(1)

Measurement Description

This measure is tracking and counting how many patients are given login access to their patient portal account over the course of a given interval.

Care Settings

Our EHR is designed explicitly to support clinicians treating patients in the mental health and substance use space and our measures were developed for that audience.

Testing Results

Testing Metric/Measurement: count new patients given access to the patient portal

Total: 1 for selected clinics during 2024

Alternative Test: Synthetic Patients Viewing, Downloading, and Transmitting from Portal:

Synthetic Test Patients: 4

Confirmed Viewing, Downloading, and Transmitting from Portal: 4; Success Rate 100%

Analysis and Key Findings

Results do indicate the patient portal functionality is working, but our overall numbers are relatively low for our patient community. We will work with our clients to better promote use of our patient portal.

As part of our quarterly internal testing, we also tested four (4) synthetic test patients, and confirmed we were able to view, download, and transmit their information successfully for a 4/4=100% success rate. We also confirmed through internal testing that our relied upon software HISP, MaxDirect, is integrated with our EHR's patient portal and working in production.

Non-Conformities or Errors Discovered

During our testing, we did not discover any errors or criteria non-conformities.

Changes for this Measure from Original RWT Test Plan

As noted above, we added a compliance test to this measure given its low use results from customers. Given the nature of this testing, we believe it has a low impact on the outcome of our real world testing initiative and is an acceptable alternative.

RWT Measure #6. Number of Immunization Registries Engaged

Associated Criteria: 315(f)(1)

Measurement Description

This measure is tracking and counting how many immunization public health registries are engaged and exchanging data with the client site.

Care Settings

Our EHR is designed explicitly to support clinicians treating patients in the mental health and substance use space and our measures were developed for that audience.

Testing Results

Testing Metric/Measurement: number of immunization registries engaged

Total: 0 for all clinics during 2024

Alternative Test: Immunization Messages Created from Synthetic Test Patients

Synthetic Test Patients: 4

Immunization Messages Created: 4 total; Success Rate 4/4 = 100%

Analysis and Key Findings

The results align with the feedback we received from our clinician community that they do not use this functionality regularly in their practices. Immunizations are not something done by mental health specialists. Because of the lack of use, we did additional internal testing of this functionality in our production-simulated dev environment, and confirmed the eCR message capabilities are working. We selected four (4) test patients, and we used our immunization messaging capabilities to successfully produce four (4) immunization messages for a 100% success rate. Our internal QA analysis and testing verified the immunization messaging functionality is working, and we confirmed that the low-use results do not indicate any errors or failures with functionality but instead reflect a choice of our providers.

Non-Conformities or Errors Discovered

During our testing, we did not discover any errors or criteria non-conformities.

Changes for this Measure from Original RWT Test Plan

As noted above, we added a compliance test to this measure given its low use results from customers. Given the nature of this testing, we believe it has a low impact on the outcome of our real world testing initiative and is an acceptable alternative.

RWT Measure #7. Number of Syndromic Surveillance Registries Engaged

Associated Criteria: 315(f)(2)

Measurement Description

This measure is tracking and counting how many syndromic surveillance public health registries are engaged and exchanging data with the client site.

Care Settings

Our EHR is designed explicitly to support clinicians treating patients in the mental health and substance use space and our measures were developed for that audience.

Testing Results

Testing Metric/Measurement: number of syndromic surveillance registries engaged

Total: 0 for all clinics during 2024

Alternative Test: Syndromic Messages Created from Synthetic Test Patients

Synthetic Test Patients: 4

Syndromic Messages Created: 4 total; Success Rate 4/4 = 100%

Analysis and Key Findings

The results align with the feedback we received from our clinician community that they do not use this functionality regularly in their practices. Syndromic surveillance is not something done by mental health specialists. Because of the lack of use, we did additional internal testing of this functionality in our production-simulated dev environment, and confirmed the eCR message capabilities are working. We selected four (4) test patients, and we used our syndromic messaging capabilities to successfully produce four (4) syndromic surveillance messages for a 100% success rate. Our internal QA analysis and testing verified the eCR functionality is working, and we confirmed that the low-use results do not indicate any errors or failures with functionality but instead reflect a choice of our providers.

Non-Conformities or Errors Discovered

During our testing, we did not discover any errors or criteria non-conformities.

Changes for this Measure from Original RWT Test Plan

As noted above, we added a compliance test to this measure given its low use results from customers. Given the nature of this testing, we believe it has a low impact on the outcome of our real world testing initiative and is an acceptable alternative.

RWT Measure #8. Number of Electronic Reportable Lab Registries Engaged

Associated Criteria: 315(f)(3)

Testing Methodology: Reporting/Logging

Measurement Description

This measure is tracking and counting how many reportable lab public health registries are engaged and exchanging data with the client site.

Care Settings

Our EHR is designed explicitly to support clinicians treating patients in the mental health and substance use space and our measures were developed for that audience.

Testing Results

Testing Metric/Measurement: number of reportable lab registries engaged

Total: 7 for all clinics during 2024

Testing Metric/Measurement: number of reportable lab messages sent

Total: 34 for all clinics during 2024

Analysis and Key Findings

While not widely used by our customers, some clients do have reportable lab registry connections in use and confirmed working in production. We added additional testing metric of number of reportable lab messages successfully as well as the planned number of registry connections onboarded and operational.

Non-Conformities or Errors Discovered

During our testing, we did not discover any errors or criteria non-conformities. We did not make any changes to this measure from our original test plan.

RWT Measure #9. Number of Electronic Case Reporting Registries Engaged

Associated Criteria: 315(f)(5)

Measurement Description

This measure is tracking and counting how many electronic case reporting public health registries are engaged and exchanging data with the client site.

Care Settings

Our EHR is designed explicitly to support clinicians treating patients in the mental health and substance use space and our measures were developed for that audience.

Testing Results

Testing Metric/Measurement: number of electronic case reporting registries engaged

Total: 0 for all clinics during 2024

Alternative Test: eCRs Created from Synthetic Test Patients

Synthetic Test Patients: 4

Electronic Case Messages Created: 4 total; Success Rate 4/4 = 100%

Analysis and Key Findings

The results align with the feedback we received from our clinician community that they do not use this functionality regularly in their practices. Electronic case reporting is not something done by mental health specialists. Because of the lack of use, we did additional internal testing of this functionality in our production-simulated dev environment, and confirmed the eCR message capabilities are working. We selected four (4) test patients, and we used our eCR triggering feature to successfully produce four (4) eCR messages for a 100% success rate. Our internal QA analysis and testing verified the eCR functionality is working, and we confirmed that the low-use results do not indicate any errors or failures with functionality but instead reflect a choice of our providers.

Non-Conformities or Errors Discovered

During our testing, we did not discover any errors or criteria non-conformities.

Changes for this Measure from Original RWT Test Plan

As noted above, we added a compliance test to this measure given its low use results from customers. Given the nature of this testing, we believe it has a low impact on the outcome of our real world testing initiative and is an acceptable alternative.

RWT Measure #10. Number of Applications/3rd Party Systems using API Capabilities

Associated Criteria: 315(g)(7), (g)(9)-(g)(10)

Measurement Description

This measure will determine how many 3rd party systems or applications are integrated and using the EHR's FHIR API interface. This measure will allow us to verify our certified API is working with 3rd party applications to access USCDI patient data.

Care Settings

Our EHR is designed explicitly to support clinicians treating patients in the mental health and substance use space and our measures were developed for that audience.

Testing Results

Testing Metric/Measurement: number of API applications connected to our system for patient access

Total: 0 for all clinics during 2024

Alternative Test: Synthetic Test Patient Data Access via FHIR API Query

Synthetic Test Patients: 10

FHIR API Queries: 10 total; Success Rate 10/10 = 100%

Analysis and Key Findings

The results align with the feedback we received from our clinician community that they do not use this functionality regularly in their practices. We have integrated with a relied upon software application ConnectEHR +BulkFHIR (Version FHIR4-B) and verified it is working in production.

Because of the lack of use, we did additional internal testing of this functionality in our production-simulated dev environment. We used our FHIR API functionality in our 315(b)(11) usability testing to demonstrate connecting with an external user-supplied predictive DSI, and we had ten different users complete the task showing the FHIR working in a production setting.

Non-Conformities or Errors Discovered

During our testing, we did not discover any errors or criteria non-conformities.

Changes for this Measure from Original RWT Test Plan

As noted above, we added a compliance test to this measure given its low use results from customers. Given the nature of this testing, we believe it has a low impact on the outcome of our real world testing initiative and is an acceptable alternative.

RWT Measure #11. Compliance of Data Export C-CDA Export and C-CDA Scorecard Average Score

Associated Criteria: 315(b)(10)

Measurement Description

This measure is tracking compliance of the Health IT Module criteria functionality of creating a batch export of C-CDAs and measuring its C-CDA Scorecard average. C-CDAs are a main source of patient data for any EHI Export action.

Care Settings

Our EHR is designed explicitly to support clinicians treating patients in the mental health and substance use space and our measures were developed for that audience.

Testing Results

Testing Metric/Measurement: Tested C-CDAs using the C-CDA Scorecard

Average Grade: 56

Analysis and Key Findings

The ONC's funded [C-CDA Scorecard](#) examines C-CDA best practice designs to provide a grade result for C-CDA quantitative assessment. We selected C-CDAs from multiple production-simulated test patients for our scoring.

Our results revealed no conformance errors and no vocabulary errors and graded at an average score of 56 out of 100 which is down from last year's 73 score result. However, there has been discussion on the [ONC C-CDA validation elist](#) that the Scorecard's methodology is perhaps too harsh and limits the upper range of what is realistic for most production C-CDAs. Given this discussion and also that we did not change our C-CDA functionality within the last year, we believe our C-CDA creation capabilities are still within compliance of the ONC certification program rules.

Non-Conformities or Errors Discovered

During our testing, we did not discover any certification errors or criteria non-conformities. We did not make any changes to this measure from our original test plan.

RWT Measure #12. Compliance of Privacy Security Tag Features

Associated Criteria: 315(b)(7)-(b)(8)

Measurement Description

This measure is tracking compliance of the Health IT Module criteria functionality of creating a C-CDA with privacy security tags.

Care Settings

Our EHR is designed explicitly to support clinicians treating patients in the mental health and substance use space and our measures were developed for that audience.

Testing Results

Testing Metric/Measurement: Confirm privacy security tag functionality still works on test patients

Synthetic Test Patients: 5

C-CDAs Created with Privacy and Security Tags: 5 total; Success Rate 5/5=100%

Results: Confirmed

Analysis and Key Findings

We performed this testing on internal test patients in our system. We created five test patients with privacy and security tags applied, and all five C-CDAs contained the proper privacy security tags and passed validation inspection. No errors were discovered. The feedback we received from our clinician community that they do not use this functionality regularly in their practices. Privacy tags are not currently used by our customers due to lack of use of C-CDAs.

Non-Conformities or Errors Discovered

During our testing, we did not discover any errors or criteria non-conformities. We did not make any changes to this measure from our original test plan.

RWT Measure #13. Compliance of Care Plan C-CDA

Associated Criteria: 315(b)(9)

Measurement Description

This measure is tracking compliance of the Health IT Module criteria functionality of creating a Care Plan C-CDA.

Care Settings

Our EHR is designed explicitly to support clinicians treating patients in the mental health and substance use space and our measures were developed for that audience.

Testing Results

Testing Metric/Measurement: Tested C-CDAs using the C-CDA Scorecard

Average Grade: 56

Analysis and Key Findings

The ONC's funded [C-CDA Scorecard](#) examines C-CDA best practice designs to provide a grade result for C-CDA quantitative assessment. We selected C-CDAs from multiple production-simulated test patients for our scoring.

Our results revealed no conformance errors and no vocabulary errors and graded at an average score of 56 out of 100 which is down from last year's 73 score result. However, there has been discussion on the [ONC C-CDA validation elist](#) that the Scorecard's methodology is perhaps too harsh and limits the upper range of what is realistic for most production C-CDAs. Given this discussion and also that we did not change our C-CDA functionality within the last year, we believe our C-CDA creation capabilities are still within compliance of the ONC certification program rules.

Non-Conformities or Errors Discovered

During our testing, we did not discover any certification errors or criteria non-conformities. We did not make any changes to this measure from our original test plan.